The CRUK Oxford Centre Development Fund

Overview:

The aim of this fund is to provide short-term, pump-priming funds to support innovative, high-risk, proof-of-concept cancer research. Often the projects we support are at too early of a stage to be competitive for project/programme grant applications but have the potential to be with additional preliminary data. Priority is given to projects with a clear translational trajectory, to early career researchers, for new collaborations between investigators, and those which map onto the Centre’s Strategic Framework.

Applications to the Development Fund are reviewed by the multidisciplinary Research Committee with support from previous awardees. The projects are ranked on criteria outlined below. The Development Fund is considered one of the key successes of the Centre and we look to continue its evolution with the implementation of more targeted award schemes aimed at complementing CRUK’s new funding streams.

Development Fund Award Types:

For the December 2020 Development Fund, both Small (requests not exceeding £7,500) and Main (requests not exceeding £15,000) Awards are available. Each are for a period of 12 months with starting on 31st March 2021, and run until 30th March 2022. Please keep this in mind as you prepare your application, and any impact this may have on costings / timings of your project.

Eligibility:
The applicant must:

- Be a member of the CRUK Oxford Centre (sign up here).
- Be an employee of the University of Oxford holding an academic post or the Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust.
- Be a senior post-doctoral researcher or independent principle investigator with a contract extending beyond the end date of the Award.
- Have reported on any previously awarded development fund applications.
- Be named as lead applicant on only one project submitted during any given round of the Development Fund. There are no limitation on the number of projects that applicants can be collaborators on.
- If a postdoc and their supervisor (or two postdocs within the same group) both submit separate projects during the same round of the Development Fund, only one award will be made to that group. The project funded is at the discretion of the Research Committee.
- Awards will normally be made only for the directly incurred costs of research: funds may be provided for equipment, consumables and other items required for the project.
- Funds to support the costs of posts, either for formal employment or work experience, will only be met in exceptional circumstances, please contact the Centre as soon as possible before applying for funds to support salaries stating the reason why funding is not available for the post from other sources and the value the post will have on the success of the project. Any applications for equipment must demonstrate an intent to share the equipment (or demonstrate a use) beyond its application to the applied for project.
Centre Funding Priorities

1) *Pump-priming High Quality Cancer Research.* Funds are provided for innovative, high quality projects and proof-of-concept work, which are currently at too early a stage to put to an external sponsor. The aim is to bring the project to a stage at which a strong application for external funds can be made. Applications should clearly articulate their plan for downstream funding.

2) *Relevance to Cancer and Centre Strategy.* Projects with translational potential with strategic importance to the Centre’s objectives will be prioritized. All applications whether they are based around fundamental science or clinical research must have a clear line of sight to cancer research with an emphasis on improving patient care.

3) *Early Stage Career Researcher.* Training of tomorrow’s cancer researchers is a key objective of the Centre. Applicants from early stage career researchers are particularly welcomed. For very early-stage researchers, it is recommended that the application is made in collaboration with your PI or mentor. Early Career Researchers are defined as having at least 3 years of research experience (excluding PhD/DPhil studies) and be within 7 years of completion of a DPhil, PhD or MD.

4) *Collaborative Oxford Science.* The Centre recognizes the value of research that transcends traditional thematic and disciplinary boundaries. Collaborations between Oxford researchers from different departments and science which utilises more than one discipline are encouraged.

Review Process and Assessment Criteria:
At least three reviewers are asked to score the application 1-5 as per the below rubric and comment on its strengths and weaknesses. These scores and comments are considered for all applications by the committee at a meeting before a decision is made on whether to fund each project. We advise all applicants to familiarise themselves with both the categories and the scoring criteria.

Score 1-2
- No/poor scientific justification or objectives defined
- No/poor alignment to funding partners or Centre strategic framework
- Inappropriate amount of funding requested, and unrealistic timelines proposed
- No/unrealistic plans for downstream funding

Score 3
- Satisfactory justification with indistinct objectives
- Partial overlap to funding partners or Centre strategic framework
- Partial/vague justification for funds and timelines proposed

Score 4-5
- Novel idea, supported by literature or preliminary/clinical observations with specific clear objectives
- Strong alignment to funding partners or Centre strategic framework
- Clear and accurate justification for funding requested and appropriate timelines proposed
- Clear articulation of targeted downstream funding
- Strong Oxford based collaborations